

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [A303 Stonehenge](mailto:A303Stonehenge)  
**Subject:** 4 April is NOT the ultimate deadline for Stonehenge and i hereby submit evidence, objection and comment  
**Date:** 06 April 2022 00:02:52

---

**I am hereby submitting objection in opposition against the stonehenge tunnel**

**You claim that**

**Comments must be submitted to [A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:A303Stonehenge@planninginspectorate.gov.uk) by 23.59, 4 April 2022.**

**This is not the case.**

**As with all local authorities planning, the truth is that objections actually can be Submitted after that date.**

**As was the case with North Shropshire council and the Old Oswestry hillfort housing development which the council has voted against.**

**Therefore today i am submitting evidence in objection to the tunnel project as allowed by law even after 4th April 2022.**

**My evidence is as follows...**

**I have an active petition currently on [REDACTED] live with a massive body of evidence and material that is in the updates extensions ...**

**Starting from the earliest to the more recent...**

**Very very serious in depth evidence and videos and photos...**

**This evidence it all on youtube and links and the access to all updates is via**

**\*\*\*\*\***

[REDACTED]

**So why is this evidence relevant to Stonehenge??**

**Well, its strong evidence that our West Yorkshire heritage sites and Hillforts have been victim of the imperial powers and that sites have been built directly ON and around and visual access removed on purpose**

**An attack against the Brigantes heritage that predates the Roman invasion and Norman conquest and as its not the history of current monarchy, it is not their history and they havent wanted to really celebrate it, but cover it up.**

**And i believe that the tunnel at Stonehenge will do the same to stonehenge.**

**My evidence is important to show this attitude and intent exists and has existed.**

**So it has to be considered and fully looked at and i mean seriously all attached evidence.**

**In fact i submitted this evidence to North Shrophire council to support why Old Oswestry should be protected and i believe it was strong supporting evidence that contributed towards their decision to not allow houses to be built near the site.**

**So thats why i am submitting it to you in connection with the stonehenge tunnel.**

**There are serious national issues raised in my evidence.**

**Also...**

**With Old Oswestry there were over 8,000 signatures in previous petitions and only 3,500 should have triggered a local referendum on the land governance orders and management by way of the local referendums and petitions act 2011**

**The council on the previous occasion did not think or realise local referendum should have been triggered. And they instead proceeded to vote on the housing applications (3) themselves.**

**Allowing one and denying the other two.**

**This was really a failure of politics and democracy, because the signatures 8,000 were TWICE the 5% of the local electorate which was only 3,500**

**If the local people had voted on the land governance orders and permissions.. they could easily have voted against all three housing developments.**

**Therefore they were cheated out of opportunity to block all 3 developments fairly.**

**And i pointed this out in the recent issue and objections to the more recent application.**

**And i believe it was a significant factor along with my own petition evidence that highlights issues between Her Majestys government authorities and advocates and the culture, heritage and prehistory of ancient cultures that existed pre conquest and visual access is being slowly removed.**

**Therefore do not fail to include my own petition evidence at**

**Because its surrounding history and circumstances of national attitude to the sites and culture.**

**Also...**

**I am reporting that...**

**On [REDACTED] there are in fact multiple petitions concerning the stonehenge tunnel**

**itself that are all live and active and you will please access these all by going to [REDACTED] and typing Stonehenge into the search box.**

**All petitions must be amalgamated combined together either way for or against the tunnel. Because concerning the same matter..**

**And already there are signatures in Excess of what matches 5% of the Wiltshire . Gov electorate for that area.**

**So in fact the local Wiltshire people are currently eligible for a local referendum on the matter and could vote to oppose the tunnel and stop it**

**Its true that one petition in favour of the tunnel has over 68,000 signatures, but i believe that Grant Schapps the Secretary of State and the contractors have been putting money into change org to promote it because they have massive funds and the Tory supporters of the DFT have been working to get signatures.**

**I believe there just as many if not more in opposition to the tunnel and they just have not been organised enough to get the signatures even though some have over 3,000**

**This is more than enough for local referendum trigger. And it actually goes above council chamber and above Department for transport .**

**There must be a local referendum. And those petitions all count to trigger one over the important matter.**

**Here i provide more explanation.**

**Also if you also include this DLR council meeting in Ireland about an ancient site Glendruoid dolmen [REDACTED]**

**You will see that visual access is seriously important. And removing visual access does remove it from public consciousness.**

**I also dont like that these objections submitted are not uploaded on display for everyone to see, like is the case with the North Shropshire council objections. Also indicating that in fact comments can be added and were added after the deadline date.**

**All councils acknowledge that in fact its not absolute deadline.**

**Therefore i am at liberty to submit this objection and evidence and comments.**

**The material on my petition is immense and carries serious deep rooted cultural issues between the imperial powers and our ancient heritage.**

**Therefore i hope it is understood in this context as North Shropshire council did understand it.**

**And i have been active to the National Trust and Historic England and Council for British Archeology in this matter as well.**

**So my objection is valid.**

**Please include it.**

**Thank you**

**Richard Kelly**